



# Intelligence Infrastructure as a Service

Defining a new category  
for specialty insurance

The specialty insurance market faces a paradox. Never before has so much geopolitical intelligence been available, yet underwriters, brokers, and claims teams report being more overwhelmed than ever. The industry is drowning in intelligence but starving for time.

The traditional response - hiring more analysts, subscribing to additional services, engaging consultancies - only compounds the problem. Each solution adds volume without addressing the fundamental bottleneck: intelligence that informs but doesn't act.

This paper argues that the solution isn't more intelligence. **It's a new category entirely: *Intelligence Infrastructure as a Service*** - automated systems that transform information into action, fundamentally changing how specialty insurers compete.

**This paper defines that category.**

## The Intelligence Paradox in Practice

Consider a scenario now familiar to Lloyd's syndicates:

- Civil unrest erupts in a South American capital on a Tuesday morning.
- Your syndicate holds £50M+ in political violence exposure across the country.
- Within 90 minutes, the underwriting team receives
  - Multiple email alerts from subscription services
  - Dozens of push notifications and analyst reports
  - Numerous social media updates
- Each notification demanding attention, none clearly answering the operational question: *Do we need to act?*

Eight hours later, they're still synthesising information to determine whether they need to adjust exposure, contact policyholders, or prepare for claims. The intelligence arrived instantly. The answers came far too slowly.



**This is the intelligence paradox: unprecedented access to information, insufficient capacity to act on it.**

**The bottleneck isn't data - it's the translation layer between intelligence and action.**

## The Three Waves of Insurance Intelligence

To understand why this matters now, consider how risk intelligence has evolved in specialty insurance.

### Wave 1: Data Platforms (2000–2015)

Insurance firms gained access to structured data feeds - terrorism databases, political risk indices, event logs. The value proposition: "Here's the data, you analyse it." This was transformational at the time. But it created work.

## Wave 2: Intelligence Services (2015–Present)

Consultancies and specialised firms offered analytical products - reports, briefings, custom assessments. The value proposition: "Here's what the data means, you decide what to do." Better, but still dependent on human processing at every step.

## Wave 3: Intelligence Infrastructure (Emerging Now)

Automated systems that pre-validate information, structure analytical assertions, and trigger workflow actions. The value proposition: "Here's what happened, what it means, and what you should do - automatically."

**This isn't an incremental improvement in intelligence quality. It's a fundamental architectural change in how intelligence flows through insurance operations.**

## Why This Category Emerges Now

Three converging factors make Intelligence Infrastructure both possible and necessary now.

- 1 The crisis frequency threshold.** The 2020s have seen cascading geopolitical events at unprecedented frequency - pandemic disruption, supply chain crises, Ukraine conflict, Middle East escalation, election volatility across democracies. The "peacetime" model of periodic intelligence assessments no longer works when crises overlap.
- 2 The AI capability inflection.** Large language models can now structure unstructured information, validate analytical assertions, and route decisions - capabilities that simply didn't exist at production scale three years ago. The technology is suddenly capable of what the market needed all along.
- 3 The economics forcing function.** Specialty insurance faces margin pressure, talent scarcity, and regulatory scrutiny simultaneously. The traditional "hire more analysts" approach is economically unsustainable. Infrastructure that scales without headcount becomes strategic necessity, not optional efficiency.

The category exists because all three conditions - market pressure, technical capability, and economic forcing function - align simultaneously.

This doesn't mean Intelligence Infrastructure replaces all consulting services. Complex, novel risk scenarios will always require expert judgment and bespoke analysis. But infrastructure transforms the economics of the systematic 80% - the repetitive assessments, continuous monitoring, and standard workflow tasks that currently consume expert capacity that should be focused on the genuinely complex 20%.

## Why Specialty Insurance Specifically

Specialty insurance has characteristics that make it uniquely suited for infrastructure transformation.



### The Consulting Bottleneck

When geopolitical events trigger policy implications, expert capacity becomes the constraint. During cascading crises - simultaneous unrest in multiple countries, election violence spreading across regions - the bottleneck becomes catastrophic. Traditional consulting doesn't scale. Infrastructure does, because the validation happens before the crisis hits.



### The Multi-Location Challenge

A single multinational policy might cover 200 locations across 40 countries. Traditional services assess these sequentially: Country A Monday, Country B Tuesday. By the time you reach Country 40, Country A has changed. Infrastructure assesses all locations continuously, flagging material changes automatically.



### The Renewal Crisis

Policy renewal is where the intelligence bottleneck becomes a revenue problem. Brokers and underwriters report spending 450+ hours per major renewal cycle, much of it manually re-assessing risks that could be evaluated systematically.

**Infrastructure doesn't eliminate expert judgment - it eliminates the repetitive work that prevents experts from focusing on complex decisions.**

## Infrastructure vs. Services: Understanding the Distinction

The category difference parallels evolutions in other sectors. CRM moved from consultants to Salesforce. Analytics moved from bespoke reports to Tableau. The pattern is consistent: workflow-integrated platforms that scale independently of analyst capacity.

The operational difference is stark. Consider the same crisis scenario under both models:

### Intelligence Service Response:

- Event triggers analyst assignment
- Analyst researches context (2-4 hours)
- Report drafted, reviewed, sent (4-6 hours)
- Client reads report, decides actions (1-2 hours)
- **Total time to action: 8-12 hours**
- **Scales linearly:** 10 crises = 10x analyst time

### Intelligence Infrastructure Response:

- Event matches pre-validated threat pattern
- System retrieves relevant policy exposures automatically
- Risk assessment generated from pre-structured knowledge
- Workflow triggers: underwriter notification, exposure dashboard update, claims team alert
- **Total time to action: 5-30 minutes**
- **Scales exponentially:** 10 crises = same infrastructure capacity

The economics follow from this architectural difference. Services revenue is bounded by analyst hours. Infrastructure revenue scales independently of delivery cost.



This distinction matters for both operational efficiency and strategic valuation. Software infrastructure companies typically trade at 12-20x revenue multiples. Professional services firms trade at 3-5x. The gap reflects scalability, recurring revenue, network effects, and switching costs that workflow integration creates.

## What Intelligence Infrastructure Looks Like

Effective intelligence infrastructure demonstrates several characteristics.



### **Pre-Validated Intelligence**

Rather than synthesising on-demand during crises, infrastructure pre-processes and validates information continuously. When an event occurs, relevant intelligence is already structured and linked to policy factors. The work happens before the crisis, enabling real-time response.



### **Workflow Integration**

Infrastructure connects directly to operational workflows. Underwriters receive risk assessments integrated into rating systems. Claims teams get crisis snapshots that inform response decisions. Portfolio managers see aggregation exposure automatically. The key is eliminating the translation layer between intelligence and action.



### **Proactive Signaling**

Infrastructure surfaces emerging risks before they become crises. Rather than monitoring for events, it monitors for patterns that precede events - deteriorating governance indicators, escalating civil society tension, shifting geopolitical alignments. This transforms specialty insurance from reactive to proactive.



### **Human-AI Synthesis**

Effective infrastructure doesn't replace underwriters - it augments them. Systems handle systematic data processing, continuous monitoring, and structured assessment. Humans focus on complex judgment. This enables human expertise at machine scale - expert judgment operating across hundreds of risks simultaneously, with infrastructure handling continuous monitoring and systematic assessment.

## What Makes Intelligence Infrastructure Possible

Intelligence Infrastructure isn't simply software built on top of existing data feeds. Effective infrastructure requires foundational assets that take years to develop:



### Pre-Validated Intelligence at Scale

Infrastructure depends on expert-validated historical data - not just event logs, but structured analytical assertions that have been verified by domain specialists. This validation work happens before the crisis, enabling real-time synthesis when events occur. ISARR's infrastructure, for example, is built on millions of expert-validated geopolitical incidents spanning multiple years of historical depth.



### Global Expert Networks

Continuous validation requires ongoing access to regional specialists who can verify emerging patterns and validate threat assessments in local context. This network effect becomes a competitive moat - the more experts validate the system's outputs, the more reliable it becomes.



### Proprietary Methodologies

Translating geopolitical intelligence into insurance-specific risk assessments requires methodologies purpose-built for policy evaluation. These frameworks - connecting threat patterns to coverage triggers, exposure calculations, and claims implications - don't exist in academic research or open-source tools.

The convergence of these foundational assets with recent AI capabilities is what makes Infrastructure viable now. The technology component is necessary but not sufficient - the validated knowledge base and expert networks are what transform general-purpose AI into insurance-specific infrastructure.

## Defining the Category Boundary

Intelligence Infrastructure represents a specific architectural pattern with non-negotiable characteristics. Understanding what qualifies as infrastructure helps distinguish category members from adjacent offerings.

### **Infrastructure Requires Workflow Integration at Operational Velocity**

The defining characteristic is not analytical sophistication - it's whether intelligence flows directly into operational workflows without human translation. Systems that produce reports for humans to interpret, regardless of report quality, remain services. Infrastructure eliminates the translation layer.

### **Infrastructure Requires Pre-Validated Knowledge**

Real-time crisis response is only possible when analytical validation happens continuously before events occur. Systems that trigger analyst assignment or research tasks during crises are intelligent routing, not infrastructure. Infrastructure pre-structures knowledge so synthesis is computational, not consultative.

### **Infrastructure Requires Proactive Pattern Recognition**

True infrastructure monitors for patterns that precede events, not just events themselves. Alert systems that notify users of breaking news are intelligent distribution. Infrastructure that identifies deteriorating geopolitical indicators before they manifest as crises enables proactive positioning.

### **Infrastructure Requires Operational Integration**

Infrastructure must trigger workflow actions - exposure dashboard updates, underwriter notifications, claims team alerts - not just present information. Business intelligence tools that visualize past performance are analytical platforms. Infrastructure operates on present and emerging risks in real-time.

## The Category Test

If a system still requires expert processing before operational action, it exists in the services layer - regardless of underlying technology sophistication. The category distinction is binary: either intelligence flows automatically into workflows at operational velocity, or it requires human intermediation.



## The Path Forward

The specialty insurance market stands at an inflection point. Firms can continue investing in intelligence services, expanding analyst teams, and managing the bottleneck. Or they can adopt infrastructure that transforms the economics of risk assessment.

This isn't a technology decision - it's a strategic choice about competitive positioning. In a market where crises unfold in hours, operational velocity becomes a competitive advantage. The firm that can assess exposure, contact policyholders, and adjust pricing in minutes rather than days wins business.

The consulting bottleneck isn't solved by better consultants. It's solved by infrastructure that makes consulting capacity scalable.

The firms that recognise this shift early gain strategic advantage. While competitors continue hiring analysts to manage information overload, infrastructure-enabled firms reallocate that expert capacity to complex underwriting judgment, relationship development, and strategic risk selection. The operational velocity gap compounds over time.

This is why Intelligence Infrastructure matters for specialty insurance specifically. In a market where quote turnaround time determines preferential share allocation, where multinational policies cover hundreds of locations, and where cascading geopolitical crises overwhelm traditional consulting capacity - infrastructure becomes the foundation for competitive positioning.

**The defining characteristic of this emerging category:  
Intelligence that doesn't just inform - it acts.**

Nick Beale is Founder and CEO of ISARR, who have built the CORTEX engine, the first Intelligence Infrastructure platform purpose-built for specialty insurance. A Lloyd's Lab Cohort 15 graduate, ISARR demonstrated 80%+ reduction in time across risk assessment and other key activities with pilot partners.

This paper introduces a series exploring each dimension of the Intelligence Infrastructure as a Service category in depth.

To discuss how Intelligence Infrastructure applies to your operations:  
[solutions@isarr.com](mailto:solutions@isarr.com)

To receive future articles in this series, email [solutions@isarr.com](mailto:solutions@isarr.com) with subject line 'Infrastructure Series'